

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	₹0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,295		03/26/2004	Vinita V. Panchanadikar	03108/0201080-US0	8264	
7278	7590	04/25/2005		EXAMINER		
DARBY & DARBY P.C.				MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH		
P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1654		

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)					
,	PANCHANADIKAR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit						
Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt 1654						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 August 2004.						
This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
occurs attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:						

A

Application/Control Number: 10/811,295

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Claims Pending

Claims 1-10 will be examined on the merits.

Claim Objections

Claim1 is objected to because of the following informalities: a period is missing at the end of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 steps (d), (e) and (f) are not clear because step (f) is the filtered extracts from step (d) and (e) are combined but step (e) states that the filtered extract from step (d) is reextracted and re-filtered. It would seem that after steps (d) and (e), only one filtered extract would be present, so cannot combine because only extract is present.

Claim 5 is vague as to what Applicant is meaning to the term "in shade." Clarification is needed because it is not clear what is encompassed by this term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,295

Art Unit: 1654

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Monsod (US 4,251,508), Fortier (US 5,532,009) and Takeshi (JP 02-117655- abstract only).

Monsod (US 4,251,508) teaches a process of extracting *Eichhornia crassipes* (i.e. water lily) to obtain vitamins (i.e. carotenoids) and chlorophyll and crude fiber (i.e. residual plant material). Monsod teaches drying the leaves of *Eichhornia crassipes* when leaves have matured for a period of five to seven months. The leaves are crushed and passed through a machine to extract the juice. The extract is air-dried and passed through a standard sieve (filtered). The loss of water is reduced to 60-75% (column 1, lines 8-30; column 2, lines 20-38 and claim 1). Monsod does not teach using solvents to extract the carotenoids.

Fortier (US 5,532,009) teaches extraction processes of beta-carotene from plant sources by using suitable solvents such as acetone, hexane, methyl ethyl ketone, petroleum ether, chloroform and methylene chloride (i.e. methylene dichloride) (column 8, lines 8-35).

Takeshi (JP 02-117655- abstract only) teaches obtaining carotene from water hyacinth by extracting dried water hyacinth with extractants such as petroleum ether or n-hexane.

The references taken together teach the process of extracting carotenoids from *Eichhornia crassipes*. In addition, the website

http://www.chemicalland21.com/arokorhi/lifescience/foco/BETA-CAROTENE.htm teaches that carotenoids are classified as carotenes which beta-carotene is also known as vitamin A. Monsod teaches extracting carotenes, one of which is vitamin A. Thus a person of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably expect to use the solvents claimed to extract carotenoids (i.e. Beta-carotene) from *Eichhornia crassipes*. Based on this reasonable expectation for success, a person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify the teachings of the references.

Art Unit: 1654

Therefore, the invention as a whole would be *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

<u>Summary</u>

No claim is allowed.

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0981. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax number for the group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sbme

SUSAN COE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Ansan D, loe 4-20-05